arXiv:math/0405011v2 [math.AG] 26 Aug 2004

Birational geometry of Fano direct products

A.V.Pukhlikov

Department of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZL, England
Steklov Institute of Mathematics, Gubkina 8, 119991 Moscow, Russia
e-mail: pukh@liv.ac.uk, pukh@mi.ras.ru

Abstract

We prove birational superrigidity of direct products $V = F_1 \times \ldots \times F_K$ of primitive Fano varieties of the following two types: either $F_i \subset \mathbb{P}^M$ is a general hypersurface of degree M, $M \geq 6$, or $F_i \stackrel{\sigma}{\to} \mathbb{P}^M$ is a general double space of index 1, $M \geq 3$. In particular, each structure of a rationally connected fiber space on V is given by a projection onto a direct factor. The proof is based on the connectedness principle of Shokurov and Kollár and the technique of hypertangent divisors.

Introduction

0.1 Fano direct products

A smooth projective variety F is a *primitive Fano variety*, if $\operatorname{Pic} F = \mathbb{Z} K_F$, the anticanonical class $(-K_F)$ is ample and $\dim F \geq 3$. Typical examples of primitive Fano varieties are given by smooth complete intersections of index 1 in the (weighted) projective spaces (in dimension 4 there are no other examples). The most visual examples are Fano hypersurfaces $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^d$, $\deg X_d = d$, $d \geq 4$ and Fano double spaces $\sigma\colon X \to \mathbb{P}^d$, branched over a smooth hypersurface $W_{2d} \subset \mathbb{P}^d$ of degree $2d$.

It is known (see, for instance, [1,13,14,22-26,28,29,31,33,34]), that typical primitive Fano varieties (in dimension ≥ 4 probably all primitive Fano varieties) are *birationally rigid*, in particular, they cannot be fibered by a non-trivial rational map into rationally connected varieties (for the precise definition, see below). The aim of this paper is to describe birational geometry of direct products of the form

$$V = F_1 \times \ldots \times F_K,$$

$K \geq 2$, where F_i are primitive Fano varieties. We will prove that provided that the direct factors F_i satisfy certain conditions, all structures of rationally connected fibrations on V are given by the projections $V \to F_{i_1} \times \ldots \times F_{i_k}$ onto direct factors. More precisely, the variety V itself is birationally rigid.

Roughly speaking, the variety V can be reconstructed from its field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(V)$ (we work over the ground field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$). The conditions that we have to impose on the direct factors F_i are very strong. In this paper, we show that sufficiently general (in the sense of Zariski topology) Fano hypersurfaces $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^d$, $d \geq 6$, and Fano double covers of index 1 satisfy these conditions.

0.2 Birational rigidity and superrigidity

For a smooth projective variety X denote by $A^i X$ the group of classes of cycles of codimension i modulo rational equivalence. In particular, $A^1 X \cong \operatorname{Pic} X$ is the Picard group. Set

$$A^1_+ X \subset A^1 X \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

to be the closed cone of pseudoeffective classes, that is, the smallest closed cone containing the classes of all effective divisors. Assume that the variety X is rationally connected [9,16]. For an effective divisor D on X define its *threshold of canonical adjunction* by the formula

$$c(D) = c(D, X) = \sup\{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_+ | D + \varepsilon K_X \in A^1_+ X\}, \qquad (1)$$

where K_X is the canonical class. For an arbitrary non-empty linear system Σ on X we write $c(\Sigma) = c(D)$, where $D \in \Sigma$ is an arbitrary divisor. For a *movable* linear system Σ we define its *virtual threshold of canonical adjunction* by the formula

$$c_{\text{virt}}(\Sigma) = \inf_{\widetilde{X} \to X} \{c(\widetilde{\Sigma}, \widetilde{X})\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences of blow ups $\widetilde{X} \to X$ with non-singular centres (or, equivalently, over all birational morphisms of smooth varieties $\widetilde{X} \to X$) and $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ is the strict transform of the system Σ on $\widetilde{X}$.

Definition 1. (i) The variety X is said to be *birationally superrigid*, if for any movable linear system Σ on X the equality

$$c(\Sigma) = c_{\text{virt}}(\Sigma)$$

holds.

(ii) The variety X is said to be *birationally rigid*, if for any movable linear system Σ there exists a birational self-map $\chi \in \operatorname{Bir} X$ such that

$$c_{\text{virt}}(\Sigma) = c(\chi_*^{-1}\Sigma).$$

Here $\chi^{-1}_*\Sigma$ means the *strict transform* of the system Σ with respect to χ.

Remark 1. The virtual threshold of canonical adjunction is birationally invariant in the following sense: let

$$\chi\colon X_1 - - \rightarrow X_2$$

be a birational map, Σ_i moving linear system on X_i, $i = 1, 2$, and moreover, Σ_1 the strict transform of Σ_2 with respect to χ. Then

$$c_{\rm virt}(\Sigma_1) = c_{\rm virt}(\Sigma_2),$$

although the actual thresholds $c(\Sigma_1)$ and $c(\Sigma_2)$ should not be the same.

Remark 2. Let $\pi\colon X \rightarrow S$ be a rationally connected fiber space, that is, for a general point $z \in S$ the fiber $\pi^{-1}(z)$ is a rationally connected variety. Assume that the base S is non-trivial (that is, $\dim S \geq 1$) and

$$\Sigma = \pi^*\Lambda$$

is the pull back of a linear system Λ on S. It is easy to see that

$$c(\Sigma) = 0,$$

since K_X is negative on the fibers of π. Therefore,

$$c_{\rm virt}(\Sigma) = c(\Sigma) = 0.$$

Thus if on a rationally connected variety X there is a *structure* of a rationally connected fiber space (that is, a non-trivial *rational* map $\varphi\colon X - - \rightarrow S$, the general fiber of which is rationally connected), then on X there is a movable linear system Σ, satisfying the equality $c_{\rm virt}(\Sigma) = 0$. In particular, if the variety X is birationally rigid and there is a non-trivial structure of a rationally connected fiber space on X, then there is a movable linear system Σ satisfying the equality $c(\Sigma) = 0$. For trivial reasons, on a primitive Fano variety F there is no such systems. Therefore, birationally rigid primitive Fano varieties *do not admit non-trivial structures of a rationally connected fiber space.*

The first example of a birationally rigid (in fact, superrigid) primitive Fano variety was given by the theorem of V.A.Iskovskikh and Yu.I.Manin on the three-dimensional quartics [14]. The method of proving it (*the method of maximal singularities*) later accumulated a number of new ideas and was considerably improved. The word combination "birational rigidity" was introduced in the late 80ies, see Sec. 0.7 below.

0.3 The main result

Definition 2. We say that a primitive Fano variety F is *divisorially canonical*, or satisfies the condition (C) (respectively, is *divisorially log canonical*, or satisfies the condition (L)), if for any effective divisor $D\in|-nK_F|$, $n\geq 1$, the pair

$$(F,\frac{1}{n}D) \tag{2}$$

has canonical (respectively, log canonical) singularities. If the pair (2) has canonical singularities for a general divisor $D\in\Sigma\subset|-nK_F|$ of any *movable* linear system Σ, then we say that F satisfies the condition of *movable canonicity*, or the condition (M).

Explicitly, the condition (C) is formulated in the following way: for any birational morphism $\varphi\colon\widetilde{F}\to F$ and any exceptional divisor $E\subset\widetilde{F}$ the following inequality

$$\nu_E(D)\leq na(E) \tag{3}$$

holds. The inequality (3) is opposite to the classical *Noether-Fano* inequality [14,25,26,34]. The condition (L) is weaker: the inequality

$$\nu_E(D)\leq n(a(E)+1) \tag{4}$$

is required. In (3) and (4) the number $a(E)$ is the discrepancy of the exceptional divisor $E\subset\widetilde{F}$ with respect to the model F. The inequality (4) is opposite to the *log Noether-Fano inequality*. The condition (M) means that (3) holds for a general divisor D of any movable linear system $\Sigma\subset|-nK_F|$ and any discrete valuation ν_E.

It is well known (essentially starting from the classical paper of V.A.Iskovskikh and Yu.I.Manin [14]) that the condition (M) ensures birational superrigidity. This condition is proved for many classes of primitive Fano varieties, see [14,22,23,28,31,34]. Note also that the condition (C) is stronger than both (L) and (M).

Now let us formulate the main result of the present paper.

Theorem 1. *Assume that primitive Fano varieties $F_1,\dots,F_K$, $K\geq 2$, satisfy the conditions (L) and (M). Then their direct product*

$$V=F_1\times\ldots\times F_K$$

is birationally superrigid.

Remark 3. For $K=1$ the claim of the theorem is obviously true (the condition (M) suffices).

Corollary 1. (i) *Every structure of a rationally connected fiber space on the variety V is given by a projection onto a direct factor. More precisely, let $\beta\colon V^{\sharp}\to S^{\sharp}$ be a rationally connected fiber space and $\chi\colon V--\to V^{\sharp}$ a birational map. Then there exists a subset of indices*

$$I=\{i_1,\dots,i_k\}\subset\{1,\dots,K\}$$

and a birational map

$$\alpha\colon F_I=\prod_{i\in I}F_i \dashrightarrow S^\sharp,$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V \arrow[r, dashed, "\chi"] \arrow[d, "\pi_I"'] & V^\sharp \arrow[d, "\beta"] \\
F_I \arrow[r, dashed, "\alpha"] & S^\sharp
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, that is, $\beta\circ\chi=\alpha\circ\pi_I$*, where* $\pi_I\colon\prod\limits_{i=1}^{K}F_i\to\prod\limits_{i\in I}F_i$ *is the natural projection onto a direct factor. In particular, the variety* V *admits no structures of a fibration into rationally connected varieties of dimension smaller than* $\min\{\dim F_i\}$*. In particular,* V *admits no structures of a conic bundle or a fibration into rational surfaces.*

(ii) *The groups of birational and biregular self-maps of the variety* V *coincide:*

$$\mathrm{Bir}\,V=\mathrm{Aut}\,V.$$

In particular, the group $\mathrm{Bir}\,V$ *is finite.*

(iii) *The variety* V *is non-rational.*

Remark 4. The group of biregular automorphisms $\mathrm{Aut}\,V$ is easy to compute. Let us break the set $F_1,\dots,F_K$ into subsets of pair-wise isomorphic varieties:

$$I=\{1,\dots,K\}=\bigvee_{k=1}^{l}I_k,$$

where $F_i\cong F_j$ if and only if $\{i,j\}\subset I_k$ for some $k\in\{1,\dots,l\}$. It is easy to see that

$$\mathrm{Aut}\,V=\prod_{j=1}^{l}\mathrm{Aut}(\prod_{i\in I_j}F_i).$$

In particular, if the varieties $F_1,\dots,F_K$ are pair-wise non-isomorphic, we get

$$\mathrm{Aut}\,V=\prod_{i=1}^{K}\mathrm{Aut}\,F_i$$

(and this group acts on V component-wise). In the opposite case, if

$$F_1\cong F_2\cong\ldots\cong F_K\cong F,$$

we obtain the exact sequence

$$1\to(\mathrm{Aut}\,F)^{\times K}\to\mathrm{Aut}\,V\to S_K\to 1,$$

where S_K is the symmetric group of permutations of K elements. In fact, in this case $\mathrm{Aut}\, V$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to S_K which permutes direct factors of V, so that $\mathrm{Aut}\, V$ is a semi-direct product of the normal subgroup $(\mathrm{Aut}\, F)^{\times K}$ and the symmetric group S_K.

It seems that the following generalization of Theorem 1 is true.

Conjecture 1. *Assume that $F_1, \dots, F_K$ are birationally (super)rigid primitive Fano varieties. Then their direct product $V = F_1 \times \ldots \times F_K$ is birationally (super)rigid.*

0.4 Divisorially canonical varieties

Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^M$, $M \geq 3$, be the complex projective space. Set

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(M))), \quad \mathcal{W} = \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2M)))$$

be the spaces of hypersurfaces of degrees M and $2M$, respectively.

Theorem 2. (i) *For $M \geq 6$ there exists a non-empty Zariski open subset $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{reg}} \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that any hypersurface $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ is non-singular and satisfies the condition (C).*

(ii) *There exists a non-empty Zariski open subset $\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{reg}} \subset \mathcal{W}$ such that for any $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ the Fano double space $\sigma: F \to \mathbb{P}$, branched over the hypersurface W, satisfies the condition (C).*

The sets of regular hypersurfaces $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{reg}}$, $\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ are explicitly described in Sec. 2.1 and 2.2, and their non-emptiness is proved in Sec. 2.3. It seems that the following generalization of Theorem 2 is true.

Conjecture 2. *A general element $F \in \Phi$ of any family of primitive Fano complete intersections Φ in a weighted projective space satisfies the condition (C). In particular, this condition is satisfied for a general quartic $F = F_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ and a general quintic $F = F_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$.*

0.5 The scheme of the proof and the structure of the paper

Theorem 1 is proved by induction on the number of factors K. For $K = 1$, as we have mentioned above, the claim of the theorem holds in a trivial way. So assume that $K \geq 2$.

Assume the converse: there is a moving linear system Σ on V such that the inequality

$$c_{\mathrm{virt}}(\Sigma) < c(\Sigma)$$

holds. By the definition of the virtual threshold of canonical adjunction it means that there exists a sequence of blow ups $\varphi: \widetilde{V} \to V$ such that the inequality

$$c(\widetilde{\Sigma}) < c(\Sigma) \qquad (5)$$

holds, where $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ is the strict transform of the linear system Σ on $\widetilde{V}$.

To prove Theorem 1, we must show that the inequality (5) is impossible, that is, to obtain a contradiction.

Let $H_i = -K_{F_i}$ be the positive generator of the group $\operatorname{Pic} F_i$. Set

$$S_i = \prod_{j \neq i} F_i,$$

so that $V \cong F_i \times S_i$. Let $\rho_i \colon V \to F_i$ and $\pi_i \colon V \to S_i$ be the projections onto the factors. Abusing our notations, we write H_i instead of $\rho_i^* H_i$, so that

$$\operatorname{Pic} V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{K} \mathbb{Z} H_i$$

and

$$K_V = -H_1 - \ldots - H_K.$$

We get

$$\Sigma \subset |n_1 H_1 + \ldots + n_K H_K|,$$

whereas

$$c(\Sigma) = \min\{n_1, \ldots, n_K\}.$$

Without loss of generality we assume that $c(\Sigma) = n_1$. By the inequality (5) we get $n_1 \geq 1$. Set $n = n_1$, $\pi = \pi_1$, $F = F_1$, $S = S_1$. We get

$$\Sigma \subset | -nK_V + \pi^* Y|,$$

where $Y = \sum\limits_{i=2}^{K} (n_i - n) H_i$ is an effective class on the base S of the fiber space π.

Now we need to modify the birational morphism φ. Here our arguments are similar to the proof of the Sarkisov theorem [38,39]. For an arbitrary sequence of blow ups $\mu_S \colon S^+ \to S$ we set $V^+ = F \times S^+$ and obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} V^+ \arrow[r, "\mu"] \arrow[d, "\pi_+"'] & V \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\ S^+ \arrow[r, "\mu_S"] & S, \end{tikzcd} \tag{6}$$

where π_+ is the projection and $\mu = (\mathrm{id}_F, \mu_S)$. Let $E_1, \ldots, E_N \subset \widetilde{V}$ be all exceptional divisors of the morphism φ.

Proposition 1. *There exists a sequence of blow ups $\mu_S \colon S^+ \to S$ such that in the notations of the diagram (6) the centre of each discrete valuation E_i, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, covers either S^+ or a divisor on S^+:*

$$\operatorname{codim}[\pi_+(\operatorname{centre}(E_i, V^+))] \leq 1.$$

Let Σ^+ be the strict transform of the linear system Σ on V^+. Now the arguments break into two parts due to the following fact.

Proposition 2. *The following alternative holds:*

(i) *either the inequality $c(\Sigma^+) < c(\Sigma)$ is true,*

(ii) *or for a general divisor $D^+ \in \Sigma^+$ the pair*

$$(V^+, \frac{1}{n} D^+)$$

is not canonical, and moreover, for some $i = 1, \dots, N$ the discrete valuation E_i determines a non-canonical singularity of this pair.

Remark 5. The alternative of Proposition 2 should be understood in the "and/or" sense: *at least one* of the two possibilities (i), (ii) takes place (or both).

Now the proof of Theorem 1 is completed in the following way. If the situation (i) takes place, then we show that the variety S is not birationally superrigid, which contradicts to the induction hypothesis, since S is a direct product of $K - 1$ Fano varieties. On the other hand, if the case (ii) holds, then by inversion of adjunction, which follows from the Shokurov-Kollár connectedness principle, we obtain a contradiction with the condition (L) for the fiber F. Now the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

The scheme of arguments described above is realized in full detail in Sec. 1. The subsequent structure of the paper is as follows. In Sec. 2 we prove Theorem 2. In Sec. 2.1 we describe the regularity conditions, defining the open set $\mathcal{F}_{\text{reg}}$ and prove divisorial canonicity of hypersurfaces $F_M \subset \mathbb{P}^M$, $M \geq 6$, $F_M \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{reg}}$. In Sec. 2.2 we describe the regularity conditions, defining the open set $\mathcal{W}_{\text{reg}}$ and prove divisorial canonicity of double spaces $F \to \mathbb{P}^M$, branched over hypersurfaces $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{reg}}$. In Sec. 2.3 it is proved that the sets $\mathcal{F}_{\text{reg}}$, $\mathcal{W}_{\text{reg}}$ are non-empty (for $\mathcal{F}_{\text{reg}}$ it is not quite obvious). For the hypersurfaces the proof is based on the *technique of hypertangent divisors* [28,31,34].

The scheme of our proof of Theorem 2 is as follows. Assume that the pair $(F, \frac{1}{n}D)$ is not canonical, where $D \in |-nK_F|$, $n \geq 1$, F is a regular Fano hypersurface or a double space. It is easy to show that the centre of a non-canonical singularity is a point $x \in F$. By inversion of adjunction one obtains

Proposition 3. *Let $\varphi\colon \widetilde{F} \to F$ be the blow up of the point x, $E \subset \widetilde{F}$ the exceptional divisor. For some hyperplane $B \subset E$ the inequality*

$$\operatorname{mult}_x D + \operatorname{mult}_B \widetilde{D} > 2n \tag{7}$$

holds, where $\widetilde{D} \subset \widetilde{F}$ is the strict transform of the divisor D.

If F is a double space, then it is not hard to check that the inequality (7) is impossible for an effective divisor $D \in |-nK_F|$. If $F \subset \mathbb{P}^M$ is a Fano hypersurface, the contradiction is obtained by means of a (modified) technique of hypertangent divisors [28,31,34].

Section 3 contains a proof of Proposition 3. There we also discuss briefly the connectedness principle and inversion of adjunction in the form in which we need these facts. For a detailed exposition of these fundamental results, see the original work [15,40]. We include a brief explanation of these facts in this paper because of their importance.

0.6 Remarks

(i) Repeating the proof of Theorem 1 word for word, one can obtain a stronger fact, that is, the coincidence $c_{\rm virt}(D)=c(D)$ of the virtual and actual thresholds of canonical adjunction for an arbitrary *irreducible* divisor D on V. The irreducibility is essential. It is in this way that we argued in the first version of this paper [37]. However, in [37] instead of the termination of canonical adjunction in the sense of the formula (1) we used a formally stronger condition that for some $\varepsilon\in\mathbb{Q}$ and a sequence of blow ups $\widetilde{V}\to V$ the class $\widetilde{D}+\varepsilon K_{\widetilde{V}}$ is negative on the curves from some family sweeping out $\widetilde{V}$. This approach does not give birational superrigidity, but makes it possible to describe (exactly in the same way as in this paper) all structures of a rationally connected fiber space on V. In particular, it gives all the claims of Corollary 1. Proof of Theorem 2 is completely the same as in [37].

(ii) Let us emphasize some points where the present paper differs from the previous papers on this subject. It is natural to call the method we use here *linear*, in contrast to the *quadratic* method of the previous papers: we study singularities of a *single* divisor D, whereas in the above-mentioned papers the main step was to construct the *self-intersection* of a moving linear system Σ, that is, the effective cycle $Z=(D_1\circ D_2)$ of codimension two, where $D_i\in\Sigma$ are general divisors.

Another point where the present paper differs from the previous ones is that here we for the first time study Fano fiber spaces with the base and the fiber of arbitrary dimension: in Sarkisov's papers [38,39] the fiber is one-dimensional, in [10-12,27,30,35,36,41,42] the base is one-dimensional, that is, $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Finally, note that the starting point of the previous papers was a condition of "sufficient twistedness" of a Fano fiber space over the base (the Sarkisov condition for conic bundles, the K^2-condition for Fano fiber spaces over $\mathbb{P}^1$), whereas direct products are not twisted over the base at all.

(iii) The technique developed in this paper opens new ways of studying movable linear systems on Fano fiber spaces $V/\mathbb{P}^1$. If $F=F_t$, $t\in\mathbb{P}^1$, the fiber over the point t, satisfies the condition (L), then the linear system

$$\Sigma\subset|-nK_V+lF|$$

with $l\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ does not admit a maximal singularity E, the centre of which $B=\mathrm{centre}(E,V)$ is contained in the fiber F. This remark demonstrates the power of the linear method compared to the quadratic one. On the other hand, it is not easy to prove the condition (L). The method, developed in this paper for that purpose, requires stronger conditions of general position than those used for the quadratic method.

Another difference of the linear method from the quadratic one is that it applies to the trivial fibrations $V = F \times \mathbb{P}^1$, where F is a primitive Fano variety. Further improvement of the ideas developed in this paper makes it possible to prove that if F satisfies the condition (C), then on V there is only one conic bundle structure, given by the projection $V \to F$, whereas all other non-trivial structures of a rationally connected fiber space are $\mathrm{Bir}\, V$-equivalent to the fibration $F \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ (that is, to the projection onto the direct factor). The group $\mathrm{Bir}\, V$ up to the finite group $\mathrm{Aut}\, F \subset \mathrm{Aut}\, V$ coincides with the group $\mathrm{Aut}\, \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}(F)} = PGL(2, \mathbb{C}(F))$ of the fractional-linear transformations over the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(F)$. The proof will be given elsewhere.

0.7 Historical remarks and acknowledgements

The phenomenon of birational rigidity was guessed by Fano [5-7], when he tried to study birational geometry of three-folds by analogy with surfaces. Fano used termination of canonical adjunction which already showed itself as a crucial point in the proof of the Noether theorem on the Cremona group $\mathrm{Bir}\, \mathbb{P}^2$ and in the theorems of Italian school (for instance, the Castelnuovo rationality criterion). A preimage of the concept of maximal singularity is also present in Fano's papers. However, Fano failed to obtain any more or less complete proofs.

In the modern age of algebraic geometry the outlines of the theory of birational rigidity were drawn in the papers of Yu.I.Manin on surfaces over non-closed fields, see [18-20], where, in particular, it was proved (using earlier results of B.Segre) that del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 with the Picard group $\mathbb{Z}$ are birationally superrigid (in the modern terminology) and cubic surfaces with the Picard group $\mathbb{Z}$ are birationally rigid, and the groups of birational self-maps of these surfaces were computed. In the papers [19,20] an oriented graph was associated with a finite sequence of blow ups. Its combinatorial invariants are of extreme importance for the technique of counting multiplicities [26,28,32,34]. The construction of this graph appears in almost all papers on this subject. It is used in the present paper, too, when we study a non log canonical singularity (Sec. 3.3).

The breakthrough into three-dimensional birational geometry was made in the paper of V.A.Iskovskikh and Yu.I.Manin [14], where they proved (in the modern terminology) that three-dimensional quartics in $\mathbb{P}^4$ are birationally superrigid. Formally speaking, it is proved in [14] that a birational map of a smooth quartic onto another one is a biregular isomorphism, however the arguments of that paper do need any modification for proving birational superrigidity. In

[14] it is pointed out that the method of the paper (without any improvements) gives a similar result for smooth double spaces of index 1 and dimension three. A slightly more sophisticated technique ("untwisting" of maximal lines) gives birational rigidity of smooth double quadrics of index 1 and dimension three (however, they are not superrigid, the group of birational self-maps is fairly big). Detailed proofs for these two classes of Fano three-folds were published in [13].

The next step was made by Sarkisov in [38,39]. Using the results of Iskovskikh on the surfaces with a pencil of rational curves, Sarkisov proved that the structure of a conic bundle is unique if the discriminant divisor is sufficiently big ("the Sarkisov condition"). Again, in fact it was birational rigidity that he proved, but the very concept did not exist yet.

The first attempt to extend the three-dimensional technique of Iskovskikh and Manin into arbitrary dimension was made by the author of this paper in [22,23]. In the same years, birational geometry of a three-dimensional quartic with a non-degenerate double point was described [24]. However, the proofs were turning more and more complicated and difficult to understand. The methods needed to be improved. Besides, by mid-80ies it was already clear, that for all those varieties that were successfully studied (quartics, quintics, double spaces and double quadrics, conic bundles) one and the same property was proved. However, that property was not explicitly formulated. The question was, what sort of property it was?

Trying to give an answer to this question, the author of the present paper introduced the concepts "birational rigidity" and "birational superrigidity". The first, rather awkward, definitions of these concepts were given in the author's talk at a conference at Warwick university in 1991 and published in [25]. These definitions were subsequently modified several times; quite probably, the current version (Definition 1 above) is not final, either. In the end of 90ies Corti and Reid introduced another version of these concepts, based on the geometric properties of birationally (super)rigid varieties [1-3].

During the last decade, the techniques of proving birational (super)rigidity have been radically improved. First of all, the method of hypertangent divisors made it possible to work with Fano varieties of arbitrarily high degree [28,29,31,34]. Furthermore, in [1] Corti suggested to use inversion of adjunction, which is based on the Shokurov-Kollár connectedness principle (which, in its turn, is based on the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem), for studying self-intersection of movable linear systems, as an alternative to the technique of counting multiplicities (developed in [26] on the basis of the test class method [14]). Thus in [1] inversion of adjunction was first used in the theory of birational rigidity. This approach turned out to be quite effective for certain types of maximal singularities of linear systems. Finally, the simple idea of breaking the self-intersection of a linear system into the horizontal and vertical parts [27] opened the way to a systematic study of Fano fiber spaces over $\mathbb{P}^1$ [10-12,30,35,36,41,42].

As a result, the method of studying birational geometry of rationally connected varieties via investigating singularities of the corresponding movable linear systems, which we for the traditional reasons call the method of maximal singularities, nowadays works for a large class of higher-dimensional Fano varieties and Fano fiber spaces and hopefully will make the basis of birational classification of higher-dimensional rationally connected varieties.

The main result of this paper was obtained by the author in October 2003 during a research stay at Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn. The first version of the paper, [37], was completed in April 2004 in Liverpool. The author is very grateful to the Max-Planck-Institut for the excellent conditions of work and hospitality.

1 Birationally rigid direct products

In this section we prove Theorem 1.

1.1 Resolution of singularities

Let us prove Proposition 1. Let $E \subset \widetilde{V}$ be the exceptional divisor of the birational morphism $\varphi\colon \widetilde{V} \to V$, $B = \varphi(E)$ the centre of the discrete valuation E on V. Assume that

$$\operatorname{codim}_S \pi(B) \geq 2.$$

Construct a sequence of commutative diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd} V_j \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_j"] \arrow[d, "\pi_j"'] & V_{j-1} \arrow[d, "\pi_{j-1}"] \\ S_j \arrow[r, "\lambda_j"] & S_{j-1} \end{tikzcd} , \qquad (8)$$

where $j = 1, \ldots, l$, satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $V_0 = V$, $S_0 = S$, $\pi_0 = \pi$;

(2) $V_j = F \times S_j$, π_j is the projection onto the factor S_j, $\varepsilon_j = (\mathrm{id}_F, \lambda_j)$ for all $j \geq 1$;

(3) λ_j is the blow up of the irreducible subvariety

$$B_{j-1} = \pi_{j-1}(\mathrm{centre}(E, V_{j-1})) \subset S_{j-1},$$

where $\operatorname{codim} B_{j-1} \geq 2$.

It is obvious that the properties (1)-(3) determine the sequence (8).

Lemma 1. *The following inequality holds: $l \leq a(E, V)$.*

Proof. Let $\Delta_j \subset V_j$ be the exceptional divisor of the morphism ε_j. By construction we get

$$\mathrm{centre}(E, V_j) \subset \Delta_j,$$

so that

$$\nu_E(\Delta_j) \geq 1.$$

Now we obtain

$$a(E,V)=a(E,V_l)+\sum_{j=1}^{l}\nu_E(\Delta_j)a(\Delta_j,V)\geq l.$$

Q.E.D. for the lemma.

Therefore the sequence of diagrams (8) is finite: we may assume that centre(E,V_l) covers a divisor on the base S_l.

From this fact (by the Hironaka theorem on the resolution of singularities) Proposition 1 follows immediately.

Proof of Proposition 2. We use the notations of Sec. 0.5. Consider the diagram (6).

Let

$$\tau\colon V^\sharp\to V^+$$

be the resolution of singularities of the composite map

$$V^+\overset{\mu}{\to}V\overset{\varphi^{-1}}{\to}\widetilde{V}.$$

Set $\psi=\varphi^{-1}\circ\mu\circ\tau\colon V^\sharp\to\widetilde{V}$. There exist an open set $U\subset V^\sharp$ and a closed set of codimension two $Y\subset\widetilde{V}$ such that

$$\psi_U=\psi|_U\colon U\to\widetilde{V}\setminus Y$$

is an isomorphism. Obviously, if $E\subset V^\sharp$ is an exceptional divisor of the morphism τ and $E\cap U\neq\emptyset$, then

$$E\cap U=\psi_U^{-1}(E_i)$$

for some exceptional divisor E_i of the morphism φ.

Let Σ^+ and $\Sigma^\sharp$ be the strict transforms of the linear system Σ on V^+ and $V^\sharp$, respectively, $\Sigma_U=\Sigma^\sharp|_U$. If $D^\sharp\in\Sigma^\sharp$ is a general divisor, then

$$\widetilde{D}=\psi_U(D^\sharp_U)\in\widetilde{\Sigma}$$

is a general divisor of the linear system $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ (we make no difference between $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ and its restriction onto $\widetilde{V}\setminus Y$, since the set Y is of codimension two). We know that

$$\widetilde{D}+nK_{\widetilde{V}}\notin A^1_+\widetilde{V},$$

see (5). Therefore,

$$D^\sharp_U+nK_U\notin A^1_+U. \tag{9}$$

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the set of exceptional divisors of the morphism τ with a non-empty intersection with U. By (9) we get

$$\tau^*(D^++nK^+)|_U-\sum_{E\in\mathcal{E}}(\nu_E(D^+)-na^+(E))E_U\notin A^1_+U,$$

where K^+ is the canonical class of V^+, $a^+(E) = a(E, V^+)$. Consequently, either

$$D^+ + nK^+ \notin A^1_+ V^+,$$

and we are in the case (i) of Proposition 2, or there exists an exceptional divisor $E \in \mathcal{E}$, satisfying the Noether-Fano inequality

$$\nu_E(D^+) > n \cdot a^+(E),$$

that is, the discrete valuation E realizes a non-canonical singularity of the pair $(V^+, \frac{1}{n}D^+)$. In the latter case we get part (ii) of the alternative of Proposition 2, since $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and thus $E = E_i$ for some $i = 1, \ldots, N$ (as discrete valuations). Q.E.D. for Proposition 2.

1.2 Reduction to the base

Assume that the case (i) of the alternative of Proposition 2 takes place, that is, $D^+ + nK^+ \notin A^1_+ V^+$. Let $z \in F$ be a point of general position. Set

$$S^+_z = \{z\} \times S^+, \ S_z = \{z\} \times S.$$

It is clear that $K^+_z = K^+|_{S^+_z}$ and $K_z = K_V|_{S_z}$ are the canonical classes $K^+_S = K_{S^+}$ and K_S, respectively. Let

$$\Sigma_z = \Sigma|_{S_z} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma^+_z = \Sigma^+|_{S^+_z}$$

be the restriction of the linear systems Σ, Σ^+ onto S_z and S^+_z. Take general divisors $D_z \in \Sigma_z$ and $D^+_z \in \Sigma^+_z$. We get a movable linear system Σ_z on the variety $S = F_2 \times \ldots \times F_K$. Moreover,

$$\Sigma_z \subset |n_2 H_2 + \ldots + n_K H_K|,$$

so that $c(\Sigma_z) = \min\{n_2, \ldots, n_K\} \geq n = c(\Sigma)$.

Lemma 2. *The following estimate holds:*

$$D^+ + nK^+ = \pi^*_+(D^+_z + nK^+_z)$$

Proof. Set $\mathcal{E}_S$ to be the set of exceptional divisors of the morphism μ_S. The exceptional divisors of the morphism μ are $F \times E = \pi^*_+ E$ for $E \in \mathcal{E}_S$. We get

$$K^+_S = \mu^*_S K_S + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_S} a_E E$$

and

$$K^+ = \mu^* K_V + \pi^*_+ (\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_S} a_E E),$$

where $a_E = a(E)$ is the discrepancy of the divisor E. For some numbers $b_E \geq 0$ we get

$$D^+ = \mu^* D - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_S} b_E \pi_+^* E,$$

whereas for a point $z \in F$ of general position

$$D_z^+ = \mu_S^* D_z - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_S} b_E E.$$

Now taking into account that $D + nK_V = \pi^* Y$ and $D_z + nK_z = D_z + nK_S = Y$, we obtain the claim of the lemma.

Corollary 2. $D_z^+ + nK_z^+ \notin A_+^1 S^+$

Proof. Indeed, it is clear that

$$\pi_+^* A_+^1 S^+ \subset A_+^1 V^+.$$

Q.E.D. for the corollary.

Thus for the strict transform Σ_z^+ of the linear system Σ_z on S^+ we get the inequality

$$c(\Sigma_z^+) < c(\Sigma_z).$$

The more so, $c_{\text{virt}}(\Sigma_z) < c(\Sigma_z)$. Therefore the variety S is not birationally superrigid. This contradicts the induction hypothesis.

1.3 Reduction to the fiber

By Proposition 2 and Sec. 1.2 for a general divisor $D^+ \in \Sigma^+$ the pair $(V^+, \frac{1}{n} D^+)$ is not canonical, that is, there exists a birational morphism $V^\sharp \to V^+$ and an exceptional divisor $E \subset V^\sharp$, satisfying the Noether-Fano inequality $\nu_E(\Sigma^+) > n \cdot a_E^+$, where $a_E^+ = a(E, V^+)$. Moreover, we can assume that the centre $B = \mathrm{centre}(E, V)$ of the valuation E covers a divisor on the base or the whole base: $\mathrm{codim}_{S^+} T \leq 1$, where $T = \pi_+(B)$.

Let $t \in T$ be a point of general position. The fiber $F_t = \pi_+^{-1}(t)$ cannot lie entirely in the base set $\mathrm{Bs}\, \Sigma^+$ of the moving linear system Σ^+, since

$$\mathrm{codim}_{V^+} \pi_+^{-1}(T) \leq 1.$$

Therefore, $\Sigma_t^+ = \Sigma^+|_{F_t}$ is a non-empty linear system on F, $\Sigma_t^+ \subset |nH| = |-nK_F|$ (if $T \subset S^+$ is a divisor, then Σ_t^+ can have fixed components). Let $D_t^+ \in \Sigma_t^+$ be a general divisor. By inversion of adjunction, see [15] and Sec. 3.2 below, the pair

$$(F, \frac{1}{n} D_t^+)$$

is not log canonical. We get a contradiction with the condition (L). This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 1.

1.4 The structures of a rationally connected fiber space

Let us prove the claim (i) of Corollary 1. Let $\beta\colon V^\sharp \to S^\sharp$ be a rationally connected fiber space, $\chi\colon V - - \to V^\sharp$ a birational map. Take a very ample linear system $\Sigma_S^\sharp$ on the base $S^\sharp$ and let

$$\Sigma^\sharp = \beta^*\Sigma_S^\sharp$$

be a movable linear system on $V^\sharp$. As we have mentioned above (Remark 2), $c(\Sigma^\sharp)=0$. Let Σ be the strict transform of the system $\Sigma^\sharp$ on V. By our remark,

$$c_{\mathrm{virt}}(\Sigma)=0,$$

so that by Theorem 1 we conclude that $c(\Sigma)=0$. Therefore, in the presentation

$$\Sigma \subset |-n_1H_1 - \ldots - n_KH_K|$$

we can find a coefficient $n_e=0$. We may assume that $e=1$. Setting $S=F_2\times\ldots\times F_K$ and $\pi\colon V\to S$ to be the projection, we get

$$\Sigma \subset |\pi^*Y|$$

for a non-negative class Y on S. But this means that the birational map χ of the fiber space V/S onto the fiber space $V^\sharp/S^\sharp$ is fiber-wise: there exists a rational dominant map

$$\gamma\colon S - - \to S^\sharp,$$

making the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} V \arrow[r, dashed, "\chi"] \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & V^\sharp \arrow[d, "\beta"] \\ S \arrow[r, dashed, "\gamma"] & S^\sharp \end{tikzcd}$$

commutative. For a point $z\in S^\sharp$ of general position let $F_z^\sharp=\beta^{-1}(z)$ be the corresponding fiber, $F_z^\chi\subset V$ its strict transform with respect to χ. By assumption, the variety F_z^χ is rationally connected. On the other hand,

$$F_z^\chi=\pi^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}(z))=F\times\gamma^{-1}(z),$$

where $F=F_1$ is the fiber of π. Therefore, the fiber $\gamma^{-1}(z)$ is also rationally connected.

Thus we have reduced the problem of description of rationally connected structures on V to the same problem for S. Now the claim (i) of Corollary 1 is easy to obtain by induction on the number of direct factors K. For $K=1$ it is obvious that there are no non-trivial rationally connected structures (Remark 2). The second part of the claim (i) (about the structures of conic bundles and fibrations into rational surfaces) is obvious since $\dim F_i\geq 3$ for all $i=1,\ldots,K$. Non-rationality of V is now obvious.

1.5 Birational self-maps

Let us prove the claim (ii) of Corollary 1. Set $\mathcal{RC}(V)$ to be the set of all structures of a rationally connected fiber space on V with a non-trivial base. By the part (i) we have

$$\mathcal{RC}(V)=\{\pi_I\colon V\to F_I=\prod_{i\in I}F_i\,|\,\emptyset\neq I\subset\{1,\dots,K\}\}.$$

The set $\mathcal{RC}(V)$ has a natural structure of an ordered set: $\alpha\leq\beta$ if β factors through α. Obviously,

$$\pi_I\leq\pi_J\quad\text{if and only if}\quad J\subset I.$$

For $I=\{1\dots,K\}\setminus\{e\}$ set $\pi_I=\pi_e$, $F_I=S_e$. It is obvious that $\pi_1\dots,\pi_K$ are the minimal elements of $\mathcal{RC}(V)$.

Let $\chi\in\operatorname{Bir}V$ be a birational self-map. The map

$$\chi^*\colon\mathcal{RC}(V)\to\mathcal{RC}(V),$$

$$\chi^*\colon\alpha\longmapsto\alpha\circ\chi,$$

is a bijection preserving the relation $\leq$. From here it is easy to conclude that χ^* is of the form

$$\chi^*\colon\pi_I\longmapsto\pi_{I^\sigma},$$

where $\sigma\in S_K$ is a permutation of K elements and for $I=\{i_1,\dots,i_k\}$ we define $I^\sigma=\{\sigma(i_1),\dots,\sigma(i_k)\}$. Furthermore, for each $I\subset\{1,\dots,K\}$ we get the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} V \arrow[r, dashed, "\chi"] \arrow[d, "\pi_I"'] & V \arrow[d, "\pi_{I^\sigma}"] \\ F_I \arrow[r, dashed, "\chi_I"] & F_{I^\sigma}, \end{tikzcd}$$

where χ_I is a birational map. In particular, χ induces birational isomorphisms

$$\chi_e\colon F_e--\to F_{\sigma(e)},$$

$e=1,\dots,K$. However, all the varieties F_e are birationally superrigid, so that all the maps χ_e are biregular isomorphisms. Thus

$$\chi=(\chi_1,\dots,\chi_K)\in\operatorname{Bir}V$$

is a biregular isomorphism, too: $\chi\in\operatorname{Aut}V$. Q.E.D. for Corollary 1.

2 Divisorially canonical varieties

In this section we prove Theorem 2.

2.1 Fano hypersurfaces

Let $F = F_M \subset \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^M$ be a smooth Fano hypersurface. For a point $x \in F$ fix a system of affine coordinates $z_1, \dots, z_M$ with the origin at x and let

$$f = q_1 + q_2 + \ldots + q_M$$

be the equation of the hypersurface F, $q_i = q_i(z_*)$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $\deg q_i = i$. In order to prove that this variety is divisorially canonical, we need stronger regularity conditions (that is, the conditions of general position) for f than those that were used for proving birational rigidity in [28]. Set

$$f_i = q_1 + \ldots + q_i$$

to be the truncated equation, $i = 1, \dots, M$.

($R1.1$) The sequence

$$q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{M-1}$$

is regular in $\mathcal{O}_{x,\mathbb{P}}$, that is, the system of equations

$$q_1 = q_2 = \ldots = q_{M-1} = 0$$

defines a one-dimensional subset, a finite set of lines in $\mathbb{P}$, passing through the point x. This is the standard regularity condition, which was used in the previous papers (see [28]).

($R1.2$) The linear span of any irreducible component of the closed algebraic set

$$q_1 = q_2 = q_3 = 0$$

in $\mathbb{C}^M$ is the hyperplane $q_1 = 0$ (that is, the tangent hyperplane T_xF).

($R1.3$) The closed algebraic set

$$\overline{\{f_1 = f_2 = 0\} \cap F} = \overline{\{q_1 = q_2 = 0\} \cap F} \subset \mathbb{P} \tag{10}$$

(the bar $^-$ means the closure in $\mathbb{P}$) is irreducible and any section of this set by a hyperplane $P \ni x$ is

- either also irreducible and reduced,
- or breaks into two irreducible components $B_1 + B_2$, where $B_i = F \cap S_i$ is the section of F by a plane $S_i \subset \mathbb{P}$ of codimension 3, and moreover $\mathrm{mult}_x B_i = 3$,
- or is non-reduced and is of the form $2B$, where $B = F \cap S$ is the section of F by a plane S of codimension 3, and moreover $\mathrm{mult}_x B = 3$.

Set $\mathcal{F}_{\rm reg} \subset \mathcal{F}$ to be the set of Fano hypersurfaces, satisfying the conditions $(R1.1 - R1.3)$ at every point (in particular, every hypersurface $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\rm reg}$ is smooth). It is clear that $\mathcal{F}_{\rm reg}$ is a Zariski open subset of the projective space $\mathcal{F}$.

Proposition 4. *For $M \geq 6$ the set $\mathcal{F}_{\rm reg}$ is non-empty.*

Proof is given below in Sec. 2.3. For $M \geq 8$ in the condition $(R1.3)$ we may require that the section of the set (10) by any hyperplane $P \ni x$ were irreducible and reduced, a general hypersurface satisfies this stronger condition. On the other hand, for $M = 4, 5$ it is easy to show that for any hypersurface $F \in \mathcal{F}$ there is a point where the conditions $(R1.2)$ and $(R1.3)$ are not satisfied.

Let us prove the claim (i) of Theorem 2, that is, that the condition (C) is satisfied for a regular Fano hypersurface $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\rm reg}$.

Let $\Delta \in |nH|$ be an effective divisor, $n \geq 1$, where $H \in \operatorname{Pic} F$ is the class of a hyperplane section, $K_F = -H$. We have to show that the pair $(F, \frac{1}{n}\Delta)$ has canonical singularities.

Assume the converse. Then for a certain sequence of blow ups $\varphi\colon F^+ \to F$ and an exceptional divisor $E^+ \subset F^+$ the Noether-Fano inequality

$$\nu_{E^+}(\Delta) > n \cdot a(E^+) \tag{11}$$

is satisfied. For a fixed E^+ the inequality (11) is linear in Δ, so that without loss of generality we may assume that $\Delta \subset F$ is a prime divisor, that is, an irreducible subvariety of codimension 1. From (11) it follows easily that the centre $Y = \varphi(E^+)$ of the valuation E^+ on F satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{mult}_Y \Delta > n.$$

On the other hand, it is well known [25,28], that for any irreducible curve $C \subset F$ the inequality

$$\operatorname{mult}_C \Delta \leq n$$

holds. Thus $Y = x$ is a point. Let $\varepsilon\colon \widetilde{F} \to F$ be its blow up, $E \subset \widetilde{F}$ the exceptional divisor $E \cong \mathbb{P}^{M-2}$. By Proposition 3, for some hyperplane $B \subset E$ the inequality

$$\operatorname{mult}_x \Delta + \operatorname{mult}_B \widetilde{\Delta} > 2n, \tag{12}$$

holds, where $\widetilde{\Delta} \subset \widetilde{F}$ is the strict transform of the divisor Δ.

Let $\mathbb{T} = \overline{T_x F} \subset \mathbb{P}$ be the tangent hyperplane at the point x. The divisor E can be naturally identified with the projectivization

$$\mathbb{P}(T_x \mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{P}(T_x F).$$

There is a unique hyperplane $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{T}$, $x \in \mathbb{B}$, such that

$$B = \mathbb{P}(T_x \mathbb{B})$$

with respect to the above-mentioned identification. Let $\Lambda_{\mathbb{B}}$ be the pencil of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}$, containing $\mathbb{B}$, and $\Lambda_B = \Lambda_{\mathbb{B}}|_F \subset |H|$ its restriction onto F. Consider a general divisor $R \in \Lambda_B$. It is a hypersurface of degree M in $\mathbb{P}^{M-1}$, smooth at the point x. Let $\widetilde{R} \subset \widetilde{F}$ be the strict transform of the divisor R. Obviously,

$$\widetilde{R} \cap E = B.$$

Set $\Delta_R = \Delta|_R = \Delta \cap R$. It is an effective divisor on the hypersurface R.

Lemma 3. *The following estimate holds:*

$$\operatorname{mult}_x \Delta_R > 2n. \tag{13}$$

Proof. We have

$$(\widetilde{\Delta} \circ \widetilde{R}) = \widetilde{\Delta}_R + Z,$$

where Z is an effective divisor on E. According to the elementary rules of the intersection theory [8],

$$\operatorname{mult}_x \Delta_R = \operatorname{mult}_x \Delta + \deg Z,$$

since $\operatorname{mult}_x R = 1$. However, Z contains B with multiplicity at least $\operatorname{mult}_B \widetilde{\Delta}$. Therefore, the inequality (12) implies the estimate (13). Q.E.D. for the lemma.

Lemma 4. *The divisor*

$$T_R = T_x R \cap R$$

on the hypersurface R is irreducible and has multiplicity exactly 2 at the point x.

Proof. The irreducibility is obvious (for instance, for $M \geq 6$ one can apply the Lefschetz theorem). By the condition ($R1.2$) the quadric

$$\{q_2|_E = 0\}$$

does not contain a hyperplane in E as a component, in particular, it does not contain the hyperplane $B \subset E$. Thus the quadratic component of the equation of the divisor T_R, that is, the polynomial

$$q_2|_B,$$

is non-zero. Q.E.D. for the lemma.

Let us continue our proof of Theorem 2. By Lemmas 3 and 4 we can write

$$\Delta_R = aT_R + \Delta_R^\sharp,$$

where $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and the effective divisor $\Delta_R^\sharp \in |n^\sharp H_R|$ on the hypersurface R satisfies the estimate

$$\operatorname{mult}_x \Delta_R^\sharp > 2n^\sharp.$$

Moreover, $\Delta^{\sharp}_R$ does not contain the divisor T_R as a component. Without loss of generality we can assume the divisor $\Delta^{\sharp}_R$ to be irreducible and reduced.

Now consider the second hypertangent system [28,29,34]

$$\Lambda^R_2 = |s_0 f_2 + s_1 f_1|_R,$$

where s_i are homogeneous polynomials of degree i in the linear coordinates z_*. Its base set

$$S_R = \{q_1|_R = q_2|_R = 0\}$$

is by condition $(R1.3)$ of codimension 2 in R and either irreducible and of multiplicity 6 at the point x, or breaks into two plane sections of R, each of multiplicity 3 at the point x. In any case, for a general divisor $D \in \Lambda^R_2$ we get $\Delta^{\sharp}_R \not\subset \operatorname{Supp} D$, so that the following effective cycle of codimension two on R,

$$\Delta_D = (D \circ \Delta^{\sharp}_R),$$

is well defined. Since $\operatorname{mult}_x D = 3$ and $\Lambda^R_2 \subset |2H_R|$, the cycle Δ_D satisfies the estimate

$$\frac{\operatorname{mult}_x}{\deg} \Delta_D > \frac{3}{M}. \tag{14}$$

We can replace the cycle Δ_D by its suitable irreducible component and thus assume it to be an irreducible subvariety of codimension 2 in R. Comparing the estimate (14) with the description of the set S_R given above, we see that

$$\Delta_D \not\subset S_R.$$

This implies that $\Delta_D \not\subset T_R$. Indeed, if this were not true, we would have got

$$f_1|_{\Delta_D} \equiv q_1|_{\Delta_D} \equiv 0. \tag{15}$$

However, $\Delta_D \subset D$, so that for some $s_0 \neq 0$, $s_1 \neq 0$ (the divisor D is chosen to be general) we have

$$(s_0 f_2 + s_1 f_1)|_{\Delta_D} \equiv 0.$$

By (15) this implies that

$$f_2|_{\Delta_D} \equiv (q_1 + q_2)|_{\Delta_D} \equiv 0$$

(since $s_0 \neq 0$ is just a constant), so that

$$\Delta_D \subset S_R.$$

A contradiction.

Thus $\Delta_D \not\subset T_R$. Therefore the effective cycle

$$\Delta^+ = (\Delta_D \circ T_R)$$

is well defined. It satisfies the estimate

$$\frac{\mathrm{mult}_x}{\deg}\Delta^+ > \frac{6}{M}. \tag{16}$$

The effective cycle Δ^+ as a cycle on F is of codimension 4. Now recall the following fact [28]: if the Fano hypersurface F at the point x satisfies the regularity condition ($R1.1$), then for any effective cycle Y of pure codimension $l \leq M-2$ the inequality

$$\frac{\mathrm{mult}_x}{\deg}Y \leq \frac{l+2}{M}$$

holds. Therefore, the inequality (16) for an effective cycle of codimension 4 is impossible.

The proof of the claim (i) of Theorem 2 is complete.

2.2 Fano double spaces

Let $F \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^M$ be a Fano double space branched over a smooth hypersurface $W = W_{2M} \subset \mathbb{P}$ of degree $2M$, $M \geq 3$. For a point $x \in W$ fix a system of affine coordinates $z_1, \ldots, z_M$ on $\mathbb{P}$ with the origin at x and set

$$w = q_1 + q_2 + \ldots + q_{2M}$$

to be the equation of the hypersurface W, $q_i = q_i(z_*)$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $\deg q_i = i$. The regularity condition is formulated below in terms of the polynomials q_i. One should consider the three cases $M \geq 5$, $M = 4$ and $M = 3$ separately. For convenience of notations assume that $q_1 \equiv z_1$. Set also

$$\bar{q}_i = \bar{q}_i(z_2, \ldots, z_M) = q_i|_{\{z_1=0\}} = q_i(0, z_2, \ldots, z_M).$$

Now let us formulate the regularity condition at the point x.

($R2$) Let $M \geq 5$. We require that the rank of the quadratic form $\bar{q}_2$ is at least 2.

Assume that $M = 3$ or 4. We require that the quadratic form $\bar{q}_2$ is non-zero and moreover

(i) either $\mathrm{rk}\,\bar{q}_2 \geq 2$ (as above),

(ii) or $\mathrm{rk}\,\bar{q}_2 = 1$ and the following additional condition is satisfied. Without loss of generality we assume in this case that

$$\bar{q}_2 = z_2^2.$$

Now for $M = 4$ we require that the following cubic polynomial in the variable t,

$$\bar{q}_3(0, 1, t) = q_3(0, 0, 1, t)$$

has three distinct roots.

For $M=3$ we require that at least one of the following two polynomials in the variable z_3,

$$\bar{q}_3(0,z_3)\quad\text{or}\quad\bar{q}_4(0,z_3)$$

(they are of the form αz_3^m, $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$, $m=3,4$) is non-zero.

Set $\mathcal{W}_{\rm reg}\subset\mathcal{W}$ to be the set of Fano double spaces, satisfying the condition $(R2)$ at every point of the branch divisor. It is obvious that $\mathcal{W}_{\rm reg}$ is a Zariski open subset.

Proposition 5. *The set $\mathcal{W}_{\rm reg}$ is non-empty.*

Proof is given below in Sec. 2.3.

Now let us prove the claim (ii) of Theorem 2, that is, that a regular Fano double cover F satisfies the condition (C).

Assume the converse: there is an irreducible divisor $D\in|nH|$, $H=-K_F=\sigma^*H_{\mathbb{P}}$, where $H_{\mathbb{P}}$ is the class of a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}$, such that the pair $(F,\frac{1}{n}D)$ is not canonical. Recall the following fact [26, Proposition 4.3]: for any irreducble curve $C\subset F$ the inequality

$$\operatorname{mult}_C D\leq n$$

holds. (Formally speaking, this inequality was proved in [26] for a *movable* divisor $D\in\Lambda\subset|nH|$, where Λ is a linear system without fixed components, however the movability was never used in the proof.) Now arguing as in Sec. 2.1 above, we conclude that the centre of a non-canonical singularity of the pair $(F,\frac{1}{n}D)$ is a point $x\in F$. Again we have the inequality

$$\operatorname{mult}_x D+\operatorname{mult}_B\widetilde{D}>2n$$

for some hyperplane $B\subset E$ in the exceptional divisor $E\subset\widetilde{F}$ of the blow up $\widetilde{F}\to F$ of the point x.

Case 1. The point $z=\sigma(x)\notin W$. In this case let $P\subset\mathbb{P}$ be the hyperplane such that $P\ni z$ and

$$\widetilde{F}_P\cap E=B,$$

where $F_P=\sigma^{-1}(P)$. Such hyperplane is unique. The divisor F_P is obviously irreducible and satisfies the equality

$$\operatorname{mult}_x F_P+\operatorname{mult}_B\widetilde{F}_P=2.$$

Since $F_P\in|H|$, this implies that $F_P\neq D$. Therefore,

$$Z=(F_P\circ D)$$

is a well defined effective cycle of codimension two on F. We get

$$\deg Z=2n,\quad \operatorname{mult}_x Z\geq\operatorname{mult}_x D+\operatorname{mult}_B\widetilde{D}>2n,$$

which is impossible. Thus the case $z \notin W$ does not realize.

Case 2. The point $z = \sigma(x) \in W$. In this case let

$$P = T_z W \subset \mathbb{P}$$

be the tangent hyperplane. Set again $F_P = \sigma^{-1}(P)$.

We get $F_P \in |H|$, $\mathrm{mult}_x F_P \geq 2$. The divisor F_P is irreducible, so that if $F_P \neq D$, then for the cycle $Z = (F_P \circ D)$ we get again

$$\deg Z = 2n, \quad \mathrm{mult}_x Z \geq 2\, \mathrm{mult}_x D > 2n, \tag{17}$$

since $\mathrm{mult}_x D \geq \mathrm{mult}_B \widetilde{D}$. Since there is no cycle Z, satisfying the condition (17), we conclude that

$$F_P = D.$$

Up to this moment we have never used the arguments of general position.

Lemma 5. *The pair (F, F_P) is canonical at the point x.*

Proof is given below in Sec. 2.3. This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 2.

2.3 The regularity conditions

Let us prove Proposition 4. We have to show that the closed set

$$\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{reg}}$$

of Fano hypersurfaces, non-regular at at least one point, is of positive codimension in $\mathcal{F}$. Let $\mathcal{F}(x)$ be the set of hypersurfaces passing through a point $x \in \mathbb{P}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{reg}}(x) \subset \mathcal{F}(x)$ the set of hypersurfaces, regular at the point x. It suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{codim}_{\mathcal{F}(x)}[\mathcal{F}(x) \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{reg}}(x)] \geq M, \tag{18}$$

since $\mathcal{F}(x)$ is a divisor in $\mathcal{F}$. For the first condition ($R1.1$) it has already been proved in [28], so that we may assume that all hypersurfaces under consideration satisfy ($R1.1$). Fix a point $x \in \mathbb{P}$ and show that violation of the condition ($R1.2$) or ($R1.3$) imposes at least M conditions on the hypersurface $F \ni x$ (that is, on the polynomial f). As we shall see, the actual codimension of the set of non-regular hypersurfaces is much higher, and moreover, the estimate is sharper as M gets higher. Let

$$\varphi \colon \widetilde{F} \to F$$

be the blow up of the point x, $E \subset \widetilde{F}$ the exceptional divisor, $E \cong \mathbb{P}^{M-2}$. We consider $z_2, \ldots, z_M$ as homogeneous coordinates on E, assuming that $q_1 \equiv z_1$. Set

$$g_i = q_i|_E = q_i|_{\{z_1=0\}}.$$

Now the condition ($R1.2$) reads as follows: the linear span of any irreducible component of the set $\{g_2 = g_3 = 0\} \subset E$ is E.

It is easier to check the inequality (18) as M gets higher. We consider in full detail the hardest case $M = 6$. Here $E = \mathbb{P}^4$. It is easy to see that reducibility of the quadric

$$Q = \{g_2 = 0\} \subset E$$

imposes on the polynomial g_2 (and thus on q_2) 6 independent conditions. Thus for a general hypersurface the quadric Q is irreducible at every point $x \in F$. Therefore, we get the following possibilities for Q:

- the quadric Q is smooth (this is true for a point $x \in F$ of general position),
- the quadric Q is a cone over a smooth quadric in $\mathbb{P}^3$ (this is the case for the points lying on a divisor $Z_1 \subset F$),
- the quadric Q is a cone with the vertex $\mathbb{P}^1$ over a smooth conic in $\mathbb{P}^2$ (this happens for the points $x \in Z_2$, where $Z_2 \subset F$ is a closed set of codimension 3).

Let us consider each of these three cases separately.

Assume that the quadric Q is smooth. We have

$$\operatorname{Pic} Q = \mathbb{Z} H_Q,$$

where H_Q is the hyperplane section. The condition ($R1.2$) is violated only in the case when the divisor

$$\{g_3|_Q = 0\} \subset Q$$

breaks into two components, one of which is a hyperplane section. It is easy to check that this imposes on the polynomial g_3 (and thus on q_3) 12 independent conditions, so that for a general hypersurface F the condition ($R1.2$) is not violated when the quadric Q is smooth.

Note that for an arbitrary $M \geq 6$ in the case of smooth quadric Q violation of the condition ($R1.2$) imposes

$$\frac{1}{6}(M^3 - 3M^2 - 10M + 24)$$

independent conditions on the polynomial q_3, so that the extra codimension of the set of non-regular hypersurfaces increases when M grows higher.

Now assume that the quadric Q is a cone with the vertex at a point $p \in E$ or a line $L \subset E$ and some component of the divisor $\{g_3|_Q = 0\}$ is contained in a hyperplane $P \subset E$. Consider the intersection $P \cap Q$. If this intersection is an irreducible quadric, then we argue as above in the smooth case. If $P \cap Q$ is reducible, then

$$P \cap Q = S_1 + S_2$$

for some planes $S_i \subset Q$. Vanishing of the polynomial g_3 on a plane $S \subset Q$ imposes $10-1=9$ independent conditions on g_3 and q_3, since the quadric Q contains a one-dimensional family of planes.

If $M \geq 7$, then the arguments are similar and the estimates stronger, see the example above. Thus we have proved that the condition ($R1.2$) holds at every point of a general hypersurface $F \subset \mathbb{P}$ for $M \geq 6$.

Let us consider the condition ($R1.3$). Let

$$\mathbb{T} = \overline{\{q_1 = 0\}} \subset \mathbb{P}$$

be the projective tangent hyperplane to the hypersurface F at the point x, $\mathbb{T} \cong \mathbb{P}^{M-1}$ and

$$Q = \{q_2|_{\mathbb{T}} = 0\} \subset \mathbb{T}$$

a quadratic cone with the vertex at the point x. To check the condition ($R1.3$) we must inspect a number of cases. The arguments are of the same type, for this reason we consider just a few main examples. Let us explain the scheme of arguments.

Fix the quadric Q and a hyperplane $P \ni x$, $P \subset \mathbb{T}$. Set $Q_P = Q \cap P$. We look at the intersection

$$Q_P \cap F$$

as a divisor on the quadric Q_P. Obviously, the quadric $Q_P \cap F$ is given by the equation

$$(q_3 + \ldots + q_M)|_{Q_P} = 0,$$

where the homogeneous polynomials $q_3, \ldots, q_M$ are arbitrary. Now to prove the proposition, it is necessary to estimate, how many conditions on the polynomials $q_3, \ldots, q_M$ imposes a violation of ($R1.3$) for each type of the quadric Q_P. Again we restrict ourselves by the hardest case $M = 6$.

Assume at first that Q is a cone over a non-singular three-dimensional quadric. In this case Q is a factorial variety. Let $P \ni x$ be an arbitrary hyperplane. For the quadric $Q_P = Q \cap P$ the two cases are possible:

(1) Q_P is a cone with the vertex x over a non-singular quadric $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$;

(2) Q_P is a cone with the vertex at a line $L \ni x$ over a non-singular conic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. This possibility realizes if and only if the hyperplane P is tangent to Q along the line L.

In its turn, the case (1) breaks into two subcases.

(1A) The set $Q_P \cap F$ is reducible and at least one of its components, say A, does not contain the point x. For the divisor $A \subset Q_P$ we get

$$A \sim aH_P,$$

where H_P is the hyperplane section of the quadric Q_P and $1 \leq a \leq 4$. (A little bit later we explain why $a \leq 4$: formally $a \leq 5$.) Thus

$$Q_P \cap F = A + B,$$

where $B \sim (6-a)H_P$. The affine equation of the hypersurface $F \cap P \subset P$ takes the form

$$q_2^+ + \ldots + q_6^+ = 0,$$

where $q_i^+ = q_i|_P$: that is why $a \leq 4$. The equation $q_2^+ = 0$ defines the quadric Q_P. The set of sections of the quadric Q_P by hypersurfaces F of this form is of dimension 125 whereas for $a \geq 2$

$$h^0(Q_P, \mathcal{O}(a)) = \binom{a+4}{4} - \binom{a+2}{4}.$$

Now an easy calculation shows that with the hyperplane $P \subset \mathbb{T}$ fixed violation of the condition ($R1.3$) imposes on the set of polynomials $(q_3^+, \ldots, q_6^+)$ at least 35 independent conditions. Since $P \ni x$ varies in a 4-dimensional family, we obtain finally that violation of the condition ($R1.3$) of the type ($1A$) at the point $x \in F$ imposes on f at least 31 conditions. Recall that we need just 6 conditions. Thus for a general hypersurface F the subcase ($1A$) is impossible.

($1B$) Here the set $Q_P \cap F$ is reducible and each of its components contains the point x. In this case the following sets are reducible (or everywhere non-reduced): the projectivized tangent cone

$$C = \mathbb{P}T_x(Q_P \cap F)$$

and the intersection

$$C_\infty = Q_P \cap F \cap H_\infty$$

with the hyperplane at infinity $H_\infty \not\ni x$. Since Q_P is a cone over the smooth quadric $S \cong Q_P \cap H_\infty$, both curves C and C_∞ can be looked at as curves on the quadric $S \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The curve C is given by the polynomial q_3, the curve C_∞ by the polynomial q_6. Reducibility of the curve C (which is of bidegree $(3,3)$) imposes $k \geq 3$ independent conditions on the polynomial q_3, and moreover, the value $k = 3$ corresponds to the case of general position when $C = R + C^+$, where $R \subset S$ is a line and C^+ an irreducible curve of degree 5. Reducibility of the curve C_∞ (which is of bidegree $(6,6)$) imposes $k_\infty \geq 6$ independent conditions on the polynomial q_6, and moreover, the value $k_\infty = 6$ corresponds to the case of general position when $C_\infty = R_\infty + C_\infty^+$, where $R_\infty \subset S$ is a line and C_∞^+ is an irreducible curve of degree 11. However, by the condition ($R1.1$) the hypersurface F (and thus $Q_P \cap F$) cannot contain a plane. Therefore a violation of the condition ($R1.3$) imposes on the polynomial f

$$k + k_\infty \geq 3 + 6 + 1 = 10$$

independent conditions. (Taking into account the polynomials q_4 and q_5 gives a considerably higher codimension, but we do not need that.) Since the hyperplane P varies in a 4-dimensional family ($x \in P \subset \mathbb{T}$), we get finally at least 6 independent conditions on the polynomial f. Therefore, on a general hypersurface F a violation of the condition ($R1.3$) of the type (1) is impossible.

Let us consider the case (2). Here the hyperplane $P \subset \mathbb{T}$ varies in a 3-dimensional family. Assume that $Q_P \cap F$ is reducible. If $F \not\supset L$, where $L \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is the vertex of the cone Q_P, then the arguments completely similar to the case ($1A$) above give the required estimate (18).

The condition $F \supset L$ means that

$$q_i|_L \equiv 0, \quad i = 3, 4, 5, 6.$$

which gives 4 additional independent conditions on f. Furthermore, it is easy to check that the case

$$\mathrm{mult}_L\, Q_P \cap F > 2$$

on a general hypersurface F is impossible. Let $S_1, S_2 \subset Q_P$, $S_i \supset L$ be a pair of general planes on Q_P. The restriction $F|_{S_i}$ is a plane curve of degree 6 with the line L as a component of multiplicity 1. Reducibility of the residual curves of degree 5 imposes on f at least 6 independent conditions. As a result, we get at least $7 = 6 + 4 - 3$ independent conditions on f at the point x. Recall that $Q_P \cap F$ cannot contain planes by the condition ($R1.1$).

The case when Q is a cone over a non-singular three-dimensional quadric is completed.

The other cases are inspected in a similar way. We do not give these arguments because they are of the same type and quite elementary. Let us consider in detail just one more case which is opposite to the case (1) above. It occurs for $M = 6$ only.

Let Q be a cone with the vertex at a plane $S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ over a conic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. Moreover assume that the hyperplane P contains S, so that

$$Q_P = \Pi_1 + \Pi_2 \subset P \quad \text{or} \quad Q_P = 2\Pi$$

is a pair of 3-planes or a double 3-plane. The set of points $x \in F$ where this situation is possible is two-dimensional. The hyperplane P varies in a two-dimensional family: $S \subset P \subset \mathbb{T}$. The hypersurface F cannot contain planes because of the condition ($R1.1$).

If $\Pi_1 \neq \Pi_2$, then $\Pi_1 \cap \Pi_2 = S$ and

$$F \cap Q_P = F \cap \Pi_1 + F \cap \Pi_2.$$

Reducibility of the surface $F \cap \Pi_i$ imposes on F at least 25 conditions so that we may assume that both surfaces $F \cap \Pi_i$ are irreducible. Obviously,

$$\mathrm{mult}_x\, F \cap \Pi_i \geq 3.$$

However, by the condition $(R1.2)$ we have

$$\mathrm{mult}_x\, F \cap Q_P = \mathrm{mult}_x\, F \cap \Pi_1 + \mathrm{mult}_x\, F \cap \Pi_2 = 6.$$

Therefore the condition $(R1.3)$ holds in this case, too.

If $\Pi_1 = \Pi_2 = \Pi$, the arguments are similar.

This completes our proof for $M = 6$. For $M = 7$ the arguments are simpler and for $M \geq 8$ one can argue like in the case (1) above.

Q.E.D. for Proposition 4.

Proof of Proposition 5. The set of quadratic forms of rank ≤ 1 in the variables $z_2, \dots, z_M$ is of codimension

$$c(M) = \frac{(M-1)(M-2)}{2}.$$

When $M \geq 5$ we have $c(M) \geq M$, so that $(R2)$ holds at every point $x \in W$ for a sufficiently general polynomial w.

Assume that $M = 4$. Here $c(4) = 3 = \dim W$, so that for a general hypersurface W the condition (R2), (i) is violated at a finite set of points $x \in W$. For a general cubic polynomial q_3 the polynomial $\bar{q}_3(0,1,t)$ has three distinct roots: a multiple root gives a condition of codimension 1 for $\bar{q}_3$. Therefore $(R2)$ holds for $M = 4$.

Let $M = 3$. Here $c(3) = 1$, $\dim W = 2$, so that there is a one-dimensional set of points $x \in W$ where the conditon (i) is violated. It is easy to see that the conditions

$$\bar{q}_3(0, z_3) \equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{q}_4(0, z_3) \equiv 0$$

are independent.

This completes the proof of Proposition 5.

Proof of Lemma 5. Since the hypersurface W is non-singular, the point x is an isolated singularity of the hypersurface

$$W_P = W \cap P,$$

where $P = T_x W$. The irreducible divisor F_P is the double cover of the hyperplane P branched at W_P. Set $p = \sigma^{-1}(x) \in F$, $\varphi\colon \widetilde{F} \to F$ the blow up of the point p, $E \subset \widetilde{F}$ the exceptional divisor. Assume that the pair (F, F_P) is not canonical. By Proposition 3, for some hyperplane $B \subset E$ the inequality

$$\mathrm{mult}_p\, F_P + \mathrm{mult}_B\, \widetilde{F}_P \geq 3 \tag{19}$$

holds. However, $\mathrm{mult}_p\, F_P = 2$ so that the projectivized tangent cone

$$\mathbb{P}(T_p F_P) = (\widetilde{F}_P \circ E) \tag{20}$$

must contain the hyperplane B. On the other hand, the tangent cone T_pF_P is given by the equation

$$y^2 = \bar{q}_2(z_2, \ldots, z_M)$$

(in the notations of Sec. 2.2). For $M \geq 5$ by the condition $(R2)$ we get $\operatorname{rk} \bar{q}_2 \geq 2$, so that the quadric (20) is irreducible and cannot contain B. This proves the lemma for $M \geq 5$.

When $M = 3, 4$ the inequality (19) holds only for those points p where $\operatorname{rk} \bar{q}_2 = 1$ and only for the two hyperplanes

$$B = \{y \pm z_2 = 0\}.$$

Let $M = 4$. Local computations show that $\widetilde{F}_P$ has exactly three singular points: they lie on the line

$$\{y = z_2 = 0\}$$

and correspond to the roots of the polynomial $\bar{q}_3(0, 1, t)$. Moreover, these three points are non-degenerate quadratic singularities. Thus the three-fold F_P has terminal singularities, so that the pair (F, F_P) is terminal, the more so canonical, contrary to our assumption.

Let $M = 3$. Here $E = \mathbb{P}^2$ and

$$\widetilde{F}_P \cap E = L_+ + L_- = \{y = \pm z_2\}$$

is a pair of lines. The only singularity of the surface $\widetilde{F}_P$ over the point p is the point $p^* = L_+ \cap L_-$ of intersection of these lines. If $\bar{q}_3(0, z_3) \neq 0$, then p^* is a non-degenerate quadratic singularity, so that its blow up

$$\varphi^* \colon F_P^* \to \widetilde{F}_P$$

gives a non-singular surface F_P^*. If $\bar{q}_3(0, z_3) \equiv 0$, then the exceptional divisor E^* of the blow up φ^* is a pair of lines, $E^* = L_+^* + L_-^*$, so that the only singularity of the surface F_P^* over the point p^* is the point $p^\sharp = L_+^* \cap L_-^*$.

It is easy to check that by the condition $\bar{q}_4(0, z_3) \neq 0$ the point $p^\sharp$ is a non-degenerate quadratic singularity.

Thus in any case the surface F_P is canonical.

Q.E.D. for the lemma.

3 The connectedness principle of Shokurov and Kollár

In this section we discuss the connectedness principle and inversion of adjunction and prove Proposition 3.

3.1 The connectedness principle

The following fact is a particular case of the general connectedness principle [15, Theorem 17.4].

Proposition 6 (Shokurov, Kollár). *Let* $x \in X$ *be a smooth germ and*

$$D = \sum_{i \in I} d_i D_i$$

an effective $\mathbb{Q}$*-divisor* ($d_i \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ *for all* $i \in I$). *Let*

$$\varphi \colon \widetilde{X} \to X$$

be a resolution of singularities of the pair (X, D), *in particular, the support of the divisor* $\widetilde{D}$ *is a divisor with normal crossings. Write down*

$$K_{\widetilde{X}} = \varphi^*(K_X + D) + \sum_{j \in J} e_j E_j,$$

where $E_j \subset \widetilde{X}$ *are prime divisors (either exceptional divisors of the morphism* φ, *or components of the strict transform* $\widetilde{D}$). *Then the divisor*

$$\bigcup_{e_j \leq -1} E_j$$

is connected in a neighborhood of every fiber of the map φ.

Proof: see [15, Chapter 17]. Note that the main ingredient of the proof is the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem [4,17,43].

3.2 Inversion of adjunction

Inversion of adjunction follows from the connectedness principle. In the general form inversion of adjunction is formulated and proved in [15, Chapter 17]. We use a particular case of this general fact.

Proposition 7 (inversion of adjunction). *Let* $x \in X$ *be a smooth germ, as above, and* D *an effective* $\mathbb{Q}$*-divisor,* $D = \sum\limits_{i \in I} d_i D_i$ *with* $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$, $x \in \operatorname{Supp} D$. *Assume that the point* x *is an isolated centre of a non-canonical singularity of the pair* (X, D), *that is, the pair* (X, D) *is not canonical, but its restriction onto* $X \setminus \{x\}$ *is canonical. Let* $R \ni x$ *be a smooth divisor,* $R \not\subset \operatorname{Supp} D$. *Then the pair*

$$(R, D_R = D|_R)$$

is not log canonical at the point x.

Proof. For convenience of the reader we give a proof, following [15, Chapter 17]. Replacing D by

$$\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}D$$

for a small $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, we may assume that $d_i < 1$ for all $i \in I$. The pair (X, D) remains non-canonical.

Let $\lambda\colon X^+ \to X$ be the blow up of the point x, $E = \lambda^{-1}(x) \subset X^+$ the exceptional divisor, D^+ and R^+ the strict transforms of the divisors D and R, respectively. Furthermore, let

$$\mu\colon \widetilde{X} \to X^+$$

be a resolution of singularities of the pair $(X^+, D^+ + R^+)$,

$$\varphi = \lambda \circ \mu\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$$

the composite map. Now write down

$$K_{\widetilde{X}} = \varphi^*(K_X + D + R) + \sum_{j\in J} e_j E_j - \sum_{i\in I} d_i \widetilde{D}_i - \widetilde{R}, \tag{21}$$

where E_j, $j \in J$, are all exceptional divisors of the morphism φ, $\widetilde{D}_i$ and $\widetilde{R}$ are the strict transforms of the divisors D_i and R on $\widetilde{X}$, respectively. Set

$$b_j = \mathrm{ord}_{E_j} \varphi^* D, \quad a_j = a(E_j, X),$$

$j \in J$. In these notations we get for $j \in J$

$$e_j = a_j - b_j - r_j,$$

where $r_j = \mathrm{ord}_{E_j} \varphi^* R$. Obviously,

$$\varphi^{-1}(x) = \bigcup_{j\in J^+} E_j$$

for a subset $J^+ \subset J$. If $j \in J^+$, then

$$r_j = \mathrm{ord}_{E_j} \mu^* R^+ + \mathrm{ord}_{E_j} \mu^* E \geq 1.$$

By our assumption the pair (X, D) is not canonical, but canonical outside the point x. Therefore, there is an index l among $j \in J^+$ such that $a_l < b_l$. For this index we have

$$e_l < -1.$$

By the connectedness principle we may assume that

$$E_l \cap \widetilde{R} \neq \emptyset.$$

Now from (21) by the adjunction formula we get

$$K_{\widetilde{R}} = (K_{\widetilde{X}} + \widetilde{R})|_{\widetilde{R}} = \varphi_R^*(K_R + D_R) + (\sum_{j\in J} e_j E_j|_{\widetilde{R}} - \sum_{i\in I} d_i \widetilde{D}_i|_{\widetilde{R}}),$$

where $\varphi_R = \varphi|_{\widetilde{R}}\colon \widetilde{R} \to R$ is the restriction of the sequence of blow ups φ onto R. By what has been said in the last bracket there is at least one prime divisor of the form $E_l|_{\widetilde{R}}$, where $l \in J^+$, with the coefficient strictly smaller than -1. Q.E.D. for Proposition 7.

Here is one more corollary from the connectedness principle.

Proposition 8. *In the notations of the proof of Proposition 7 assume that the pair (X, D) is not log canonical, but log canonical outside the point x. Then the following alternative takes place:*

(1) either $\operatorname{mult}_x D > \dim X$,

(2) or the set

$$\mu(\bigcup_{b_j > a_j + 1} E_j) \subset E$$

is connected.

Proof. By the assumptions the claim follows immediately from the connectedness principle.

3.3 Proof of Proposition 3

Canonicity is stronger than log canonicity. Therefore one can apply inversion of adjunction (Proposition 7) several times, subsequently restricting the pair (X, D) onto smooth subvarieties

$$R_1 \supset R_2 \supset \ldots \supset R_k,$$

where $R_1 \subset X$ is a smooth divisor, $R_{i+1} \subset R_i$ is a smooth divisor, $x \in R_k$ and $R_k \not\subset \operatorname{Supp} D$. All the pairs

$$(R_i, D|_{R_i})$$

are not log canonical at the point x. Thus Proposition 7 holds for any smooth germ $R \ni x$ of codimension $k \leq \dim X - 1$. In particular, it holds for a general surface $S \ni x$. (This fact was for the first time used by Corti [1] in order to obtain an alternative proof of the $4n^2$-inequality, see also [34, Proposition 1.5].) Thus the pair

$$(S, D_S = D|_S)$$

has at the point x an isolated (for a general S) non log canonical singularity. Let us consider the two-dimensional case more closely. Let $x \in S$ be a germ of a smooth surface, $C \subset S$ a germ of an effective (possibly reducible) curve, $x \in C$. Consider a sequence of blow ups

$$\varphi_{i,i-1}\colon S_i \to S_{i-1},$$

$S_0 = S$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, $\varphi_{i,i-1}$ blows up a point $x_{i-1} \in S_{i-1}$, $E_i = \varphi^{-1}_{i,i-1}(x_{i-1}) \subset S_i$ is the exceptional line. For $i > j$ set

$$\varphi_{i,j} = \varphi_{j+1,j} \circ \ldots \circ \varphi_{i,i-1} : S_i \to S_j,$$

$\varphi = \varphi_{N,0}$, $\widetilde{S} = S_N$. We assume that the points x_i lie one over another, that is, $x_i \in E_i$, and that $x_0 = x$, so that all the points x_i, $i \geq 1$, lie over x:

$$\varphi_{i,0}(x_i) = x \in S.$$

Let Γ be the graph with the vertices $1, \ldots, N$ and oriented edges (arrows)

$$i \to j,$$

that connect i and j if and only if $i > j$ and

$$x_{i-1} \in E^{i-1}_j,$$

where for a curve $Y \subset S_j$ its strict transform on S_a, $a \geq j$, is denoted by the symbol Y^a. Assume that the point x is the centre of an isolated non log canonical singularity of the pair

$$(S, \frac{1}{n} C)$$

for some $n \geq 1$. This means that for some exceptional divisor $E \subset \widetilde{S}$ the inequality

$$\nu_E(C) = \mathrm{ord}_E\, \varphi^* C > n(a_E + 1), \tag{22}$$

holds, where a_E is the discrepancy of E. Without loss of generality we may assume that $E = E_N$ is the last exceptional divisor. The inequality (22) is called *the log Noether-Fano inequality.*

For $i > j$ let the symbol p_{ij} denote the number of paths in the graph Γ from the vertex i to the vertex j. For $i < j$ set $p_{ij} = 0$. Set also $p_{ii} = 1$. In terms of the numbers p_{ij} the log Noether-Fano inequality (22) takes the traditional form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{Ni} \mu_i > n(\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{Ni} + 1), \tag{23}$$

where

$$\mu_i = \mathrm{mult}_{x_{i-1}}\, C^{i-1}.$$

Proposition 9. *Either $\mu_1 > 2n$ (that is, the first exceptional divisor $E_1 \subset S_1$ already gives a non log canonical singularity of the pair $(S, (1/n)C)$), or $N \geq 2$ and the following inequality holds:*

$$\mu_1 + \mu_2 > 2n.$$

Proof. If $N = 1$, then $\mu_1 > 2n$ by means of log Noether-Fano inequality. Assume that $\mu_1 \leq 2n$, then $N \geq 2$. Obviously, $\mu_1 > n$. If $\mu_2 \geq n$, then $\mu_1 + \mu_2 > 2n$, as we claim. So assume that $\mu_2 < n$. Then for each $i \in \{2, \ldots, N\}$ we have $\mu_i \leq \mu_2 < n$ (since the point x_{i-1} lies over x_1). Therefore from the inequality (23) we get

$$p_{N1}(\mu_1 - n) + \sum_{i=2}^{N} p_{Ni}(\mu_2 - n) > n.$$

However,

$$p_{N1} = \sum_{j \to 1} p_{Nj} \leq \sum_{i=2}^{N} p_{Ni},$$

so that the more so

$$\sum_{i=2}^{N} p_{Ni}(\mu_1 + \mu_2 - 2n) > n.$$

Therefore $\mu_1 + \mu_2 > 2n$. Q.E.D. for the proposition.

Proof of Proposition 3. We prove Proposition 3 in the following form:

let (X, D) be a pair as in Proposition 8, $\lambda\colon X^+ \to X$ the blow up of the point x, $E \subset X^+$ the exceptional divisor, $E \cong \mathbb{P}^e$, $e = \dim X - 1$. Then there exists a hyperplane $B \subset E$ such that

$$\operatorname{mult}_x D + \operatorname{mult}_B D > 2, \tag{24}$$

where D^+ is the strict transform of D on X^+.

Proof. Consider a general surface $S \ni x$. The pair (S, D_S) is not log canonical, but log canonical outside the point x. By Proposition 8, either

$$\operatorname{mult}_x D_S > 2,$$

but in this case $\operatorname{mult}_x D > 2$ and the inequality (24) holds in a trivial way for any hyperplane $B \subset E$, or the centres of all non log canonical singularities on S^+ (that is, the strict transform of S on X^+) are contained in a proper closed connected subset

$$Z_S \subset E_S = E \cap S^+ \cong \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Obviously, Z_S is a point $y_S \in E_S$. Since the surface S is general, there is a hyperplane $B \subset E$ such that

$$y_S = B \cap S^+.$$

By Proposition 9, the inequality

$$\operatorname{mult}_x D_S + \operatorname{mult}_{y_S} D_S^+ > 2$$

holds. This immediately implies the inequality (24) and Proposition 3.

References

1. Corti A., Singularities of linear systems and 3-fold birational geometry, in “Explicit Birational Geometry of Threefolds”, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **281** (2000), Cambridge University Press, 259-312.

2. Corti A., Pukhlikov A. and Reid M., Fano 3-fold hypersurfaces, in “Explicit Birational Geometry of Threefolds”, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **281** (2000), Cambridge University Press, 175-258.

3. Corti A. and Reid M., Foreword to “Explicit Birational Geometry of Threefolds”, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **281** (2000), Cambridge University Press, 1-20.

4. Esnault H. and Viehweg E., Lectures on vanishing theorems, DMV-Seminar. Bd. **20.** Birkhäuser, 1992.

5. Fano G., Sopra alcune varieta algebriche a tre dimensioni aventi tutti i generi nulli, Atti Acc. Torino **43** (1908), 973-977.

6. Fano G., Osservazioni sopra alcune varieta non razionali aventi tutti i generi nulli, Atti Acc. Torino **50** (1915), 1067-1072.

7. Fano G., Nouve ricerche sulle varieta algebriche a tre dimensioni a curve-sezioni canoniche, Comm. Rent. Ac. Sci. **11** (1947), 635-720.

8. Fulton W., Intersection Theory, Springer-Verlag, 1984.

9. Graber T., Harris J. and Starr J., Families of rationally connected varieties. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2002), no. 1, 57-67.

10. Grinenko M. M., Birational automorphisms of a three-dimensional double cone, Sbornik: Mathematics **189** (1998), no. 7-8, 991-1007.

11. Grinenko M. M., Birational properties of pencils of del Pezzo surfaces of degrees 1 and 2, Sbornik: Mathematics **191** (2000), no. 5-6, 633-653.

12. Grinenko M. M., Birational properties of pencils of del Pezzo surfaces of degrees 1 and 2. II, Sbornik: Mathematics **194** (2003), no. 5, 669-696.

13. Iskovskikh V.A., Birational automorphisms of three-dimensional algebraic varieties, J. Soviet Math. **13** (1980), 815-868.

14. Iskovskikh V.A. and Manin Yu.I., Three-dimensional quartics and counterexamples to the Lüroth problem, Math. USSR Sb. **86** (1971), no. 1, 140-166.

15. Kollár J., et al., Flips and Abundance for Algebraic Threefolds, Asterisque 211, 1993.

16. Kollár J., Rational curves on algebraic varieties. Springer, 1996.

17. Kawamata Y., A generalization of Kodaira-Ramanujam's vanishing theorem, Math. Ann. **261** (1982), 43-46.

18. Manin Yu. I., Rational surfaces over perfect fields. Publ. Math. IHES **30** (1966), 55-113.

19. Manin Yu. I. Rational surfaces over perfect fields. II. Mat. Sb. **72** (1967), 161-192.

20. Manin Yu. I., Cubic forms. Algebra, geometry, arithmetic. Second edition. North-Holland Mathematical Library, **4.** North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1986.

21. Noether M., Über Flächen welche Schaaren rationaler Curven besitzen, Math. Ann. **3** (1871), 161-227.

22. Pukhlikov A.V., Birational isomorphisms of four-dimensional quintics, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), 303-329.

23. Pukhlikov A.V., Birational automorphisms of a double space and a double quadric, Math. USSR Izv. **32** (1989), 233-243.

24. Pukhlikov A.V., Birational automorphisms of a three-dimensional quartic with an elementary singularity, Math. USSR Sb. **63** (1989), 457-482.

25. Pukhlikov A.V., A note on the theorem of V.A.Iskovskikh and Yu.I.Manin on the three-dimensional quartic, Proc. Steklov Math. Inst. **208** (1995), 244-254.

26. Pukhlikov A.V., Essentials of the method of maximal singularities, in "Explicit Birational Geometry of Threefolds", London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **281** (2000), Cambridge University Press, 73-100.

27. Pukhlikov A.V., Birational automorphisms of three-dimensional algebraic varieties with a pencil of del Pezzo surfaces, Izvestiya: Mathematics **62**:1 (1998), 115-155.

28. Pukhlikov A.V., Birational automorphisms of Fano hypersurfaces, Invent. Math. **134** (1998), no. 2, 401-426.

29. Pukhlikov A.V., Birationally rigid Fano double hypersurfaces, Sbornik: Mathematics **191** (2000), No. 6, 101-126.

30. Pukhlikov A.V., Birationally rigid Fano fibrations, Izvestiya: Mathematics **64** (2000), 131-150.

31. Pukhlikov A.V., Birationally rigid Fano complete intersections, Crelle J. für die reine und angew. Math. **541** (2001), 55-79.

32. Pukhlikov A.V., Birationally rigid Fano hypersurfaces with isolated singularities, Sbornik: Mathematics **193** (2002), no. 3, 445-471.

33. Pukhlikov A.V., Birationally rigid Fano hypersurfaces, Izvestiya: Mathematics **66** (2002), no. 6, 1243-1269.

34. Pukhlikov A.V., Birationally rigid iterated Fano double covers, Izvestiya: Mathematics. **67** (2003), no. 3, 555-596.

35. Pukhlikov A.V., Birationally rigid varieties with a pencil of Fano double covers. I, Sbornik: Mathematics **195** (2004), No. 7.

36. Pukhlikov A.V., Birationally rigid varieties with a pencil of Fano double covers. II, Sbornik: Mathematics **195** (2004), No. 10.

37. Pukhlikov A.V., Birational geometry of Fano direct products, arXiv: math.AG/0405011.

38. Sarkisov V.G., Birational automorphisms of conic bundles, Math. USSR Izv. **17** (1981), 177-202.

39. Sarkisov V.G., On conic bundle structures, Math. USSR Izv. **20** (1982), no. 2, 354-390.

40. Shokurov V.V., 3-fold log flips, Izvestiya: Mathematics **40** (1993), 93-202.

41. Sobolev I. V., On a series of birationally rigid varieties with a pencil of Fano hypersurfaces. Sbornik: Mathematics **192** (2001), no. 9-10, 1543-1551.

42. Sobolev I. V., Birational automorphisms of a class of varieties fibered into cubic surfaces. Izvestiya: Mathematics **66** (2002), no. 1, 201-222.

43. Viehweg E., Vanishing theorems, Crelle J. für die reine und angew. Math. **335** (1982), 1-8.